Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/081,273	CARMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Huong Q. Pham	3764		

('supplemental)	Huong Q. Pham	3764		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	 ress	
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following				
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	·	I E below);		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-15,17-20,23-31,33-41 and 44.</u>				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)13. Other:				
	·			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: As discussed in the telephone interview on 11/18/2005, the patent to Stephen (5,331,989) shows a grip 17(note figure 5) having a second longitudinal axis fixed to the attachment post 11 and extending rearward therefrom, with the second axis extending transverse to the first axis. To any extent applicant's seat portion 4 is planar, so is the seat portion 27 of Stephen. The seat portion 27 of Stephen is a convex contour at 53 in figure 5. See also figure 1a, and the outside surface, opposite to the reference # 27, is a convex surface from forward to rearward, and a brace 65 (note figures 4,5) constructed substantially in the form of a helix, the helix circumscribing an open space to accommodate the arm of the user, the brace 65 fixed to seat 27 and extending rearward therefrom.Note that Figures 4 and 5 show the brace 65 with a top edge higher than a lower edge, and therefore the brace 65 is constructed substantially in the form of a helix as recited. One tracing the top edge of the brace 65 fromm the lower edge in figure 4 would follow a helical path to the top edge of brace 65. This would broadly comprehend " substantially " in the form of a helix.